Legal Action Report/Meeting Minutes
Pima County-Tucson Outdoor Lighting Code Committee

Date: 10/07/2026 • **Time:** 1pm – 3pm • **Location:** 201 N. Stone Ave (Basement) & Teams

1. Agenda Item: Call to Order & Roll Call/Quorum

Meeting called to order at: **1:04** **by:** **Amy Oliver**

Quorum: ☒Yes ☐No

Committee Members			
Name	**Position**	**Present**	**Virtual**
Amy Oliver	Chair	☒Yes ☐No	☒
Dennis Coon	Vice Chair	☒Yes ☐No	☒
Craig Barron	Public Rep.	☒Yes ☐No	☐
Robert East	General Business Rep.	☒Yes ☐No	☐
Buell Jannuzi	Astronomy Rep.	☒Yes ☐No	☒
Daniel Sleator	Licensed Contractor	☒Yes ☐No	☐
Alec Zimmermann	Electrical Engineer	☐Yes ☒No	☐
Ex-Officio/Staff Members			
Name	**Organization**	**Present**	**Virtual**
Dan Ice	Pima County Development Services	☒Yes ☐No	☐
Grace De La Puente Jouanne	Pima County Development Services	☒Yes ☐No	☐
Jenn Gauglitz	Pima County Development Services	☒Yes ☐No	☐
Clayton Trevillyan	City of Tucson Planning & Development	☒Yes ☐No	☐

2. Agenda Item: Approval of Minutes/Legal Action Report – September 2, 2026 meeting

☒ Approved as presented
☐ Approved with corrections

Notes:

Motion:

Motion made by: Daniel Sleator **Seconded by:** Craig Barron

☒Passed Unanimously	☐Passed In Favor: Opposed:	☐ Failed

3. Agenda Item: Call to the Audience

Speaker Cards Submitted					
Speaker	**Organization**	**Agenda Item/Topic**	**In-Person**	**Virtual**	**Submitted Online**
Robert Yoesle	Dark Sky Defenders	Draft OLC revisions and proposed changes	☒	☐	☒
Notes: Handed out a copy of his presentation to committee members and audience. Expressed his concern about lack of lighting restrictions or liberal allowance on the new proposed draft.					
Eloise Gore	Sunrise Mountain Ridge HOA	Outdoor lighting regulations as they apply to residential areas, HOAs in particular	☐	☒	☒
Notes: N/A					
Priscilla Storm	Diamond Ventures	Lighting Area 2	☒	☐	☐
Notes: Asks committee to reconsider restrictions applied to lighting area E2 to make them more liberal, due to more intensive urban and suburban mixed used developments.					

Notes:

☒ **No Vote Taken**

Motion:

Motion made by: **Seconded by:**

☐Passed Unanimously	☐Passed In Favor: Opposed:	☐ Failed

4. Agenda Item: Subcommittee Report

Notes:

Dennis Coons shares the subcommittee met 3 times in September.

- September 2nd: discussed procedural issues
- September 16th: discussed documents received about the proposed model lighting ordinance and the ability to have that integrated into the OLC for certain developments
- September 23rd: devoted to talking about chapter 4 specifically about concepts of allowing outdoor lighting under canopies and building overhangs, where they effectively prevent illumination upwards to the sky. Dennis discussed lumen reduction without affecting building entrances

Amy Oliver noted that she will be removed from the subcommittee temporarily, for personal reasons. Alec Zimmermann will take her place during the month of October. She will return in November.

☒ **No Vote Taken**

Motion:

Motion made by: **Seconded by:** N/A

☐Passed Unanimously	☐Passed In Favor: Opposed:	☐ Failed

5. Agenda Item: Review of the October 2, 2026, Green Valley Public Forum at Santa Rita Springs

Notes:

Amy Oliver discussed the outcome and success of the event and noted that Dennis Coon, Daniel Ice, Clayton Trevillyan and herself attended (no other committee members were present). She thanked Robert Yoesle for his presentation that educated the audience on the importance of protecting dark skies against light pollution. She noted that 70% of the comments received from the audience were about code enforcement related cases and questions.
She discussed the outdoor lighting code draft and how the 60-day comment period will work administratively. She emphasized the importance of making the Outdoor Lighting Code clear, understandable, and easy for the public to use, and noted that the committee continues to work on clarifying code language, including definitions related to light trespass.

☒ **No Vote Taken**

Motion:

Motion made by: **Seconded by:**

☐Passed Unanimously	☐Passed	In Favor:	Opposed:	☐ Failed

6. Agenda Item: Master Lighting Plan Program

Notes:

The committee reviewed the proposed Master Lighting Plan (MLP) program as an alternative pathway for addressing site lighting designs that may not otherwise fit within the standard code requirements.
Robert East noted that, similar to the sign code, not every potential site condition can be specifically addressed through the code, and the MLP process could provide flexibility for unique or complex sites.
Robert East identified a terminology issue in Section 108.7 regarding the reference to “MOLP” and Priscila Storm clarified that the intended reference is “MLP.”

It was agreed that the subcommittee would follow up to obtain additional information and clarification regarding the sections 108.7 and 108.9 and its intended application.

Dennis Coon emphasized on the importance of lumen count, color temperature and curfew requirements to section 108.9. The committee discussed that the MLP would ultimately need to be incorporated into the code and approved by the City and County as a codified provision.

Amy Oliver clarified that the goal of the MLP program is to provide an alternative pathway for developers while remaining a formal part of the Outdoor Lighting Code.

☒ **No Vote Taken**

Motion:

Motion made by: **Seconded by:**

☐Passed Unanimously	☐Passed	In Favor:	Opposed:	☐ Failed

7. Agenda Item: Lumen Reductions

Notes:

Clayton Trevillyan (City of Tucson) noted that fully shielded fixtures installed beneath canopies are currently 100% exempt from lumen calculations and asked whether the exemption should remain.

Dennis Coon responded that, given the ongoing deterioration of dark skies associated with modern LED lighting, the Outdoor Lighting Code should not increase allowable lumen levels and should maintain current limits while favoring warm color temperatures of 2200K–3000K and avoiding blue and green light. Buell Jannuzi seconded his comment.

Robert East noted the difficulty of finding light fixtures that comply with 2200K–2700K color temperature range and stated that he would not support including this requirement in the Outdoor Lighting Code draft, expressing a preference for a minimum color temperature of 3000K.

Amy Oliver thanked committee members for their expertise and encouraged audience to comment on this topic at the next meeting during call to the audience.

☒ **No Vote Taken**

Motion:

Motion made by: **Seconded by:**

☐Passed Unanimously	☐Passed In Favor: Opposed:	☐ Failed

8. Agenda Item: Update: Chapter 6 non-white lighting, under canopy lighting, modern lighting, ball field lighting, color temperature limitations, and sign consideration

Notes:

Amy Oliver updated committee on their advancements on the draft regarding Chapter 6. Committee discussed modern lighting and sign considerations. Dennis Coon will provide examples at subcommittee meeting and next full committee meeting.

☒ **No Vote Taken**

Motion:

Motion made by: **Seconded by:**

☐Passed Unanimously	☐Passed In Favor: Opposed:	☐ Failed

9. Agenda Item: Future Agenda Items

Notes:

Keep Agenda items #1-4 and #6-10. Add agenda item regarding HOA.

☒ **No Vote Taken**

Motion:

Motion made by: **Seconded by:**

☐Passed Unanimously	☐Passed In Favor: Opposed:	☐ Failed

10. Agenda Item: Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at: 2:22 pm **by:** Amy Oliver

☒ **No Vote Taken**

Motion:

Motion made by: **Seconded by:**

☐Passed Unanimously	☐Passed In Favor: Opposed:	☐ Failed