



Design Review Board
P.O. Box 27210
Tucson, Arizona 85726-7210
Phone: (520) 791-4213
TDD: (520) 791-2639

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD (DRB)

MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA - **AMENDED**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Design Review Board (DRB)** and to the general public that the DRB will hold the following virtual meeting which will be open to the public on:

Friday, February 6, 2026, 8:30 AM

If a member of the public wishes to join through the remote format on a computer, mobile app room device, or telephone:

[Join the meeting now](#)

Meeting ID: 235 575 815 665 63

Passcode: pT6eW6Sc

Dial in by phone

[+1 213-293-2303,,710813390#](#) United States, Los Angeles

[Find a local number](#)

Phone conference ID: 710 813 390#

For materials in accessible formats, and/or materials in a language other than English, please contact María Gayosso at (520) 837-6972, Maria.Gayosso@tucsonaz.gov, no later than Monday February 2, 2026.

Para solicitar materiales en formatos accesibles, y/o materiales en español, por favor comuníquese con María Gayosso al (520) 837-6972, Maria.Gayosso@tucsonaz.gov, a más tardar el Lunes 2 de Febrero de 2026.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order / Roll Call

Paige Anthony
Rosemary Bright (Vice Chair)
Caryl Clement
Cade Hayes

Daniel Maher
Grace Schau
Chris Stebe (Chair)

2. Review and approval of the 1/9/2026 Draft LAR and Meeting Minutes

Action

3. Call to the Audience

4. [SD-1225-00192](#) – Wave Lab, West Facade Modifications

Related Activity #s [TC-COM-1025-01978](#)

Address: [111 S 6TH AV](#) (Parcel # [11717007B](#))

Zoning [OCR-2](#)

[Rio Nuevo Area \(RNA\)](#) Review

Action

The Applicant's Request:

Repp+McLain Design & Construction, on behalf of Julian Drew Lofts LLC, is proposing a comprehensive façade renovation, consisting of the removal of non-historic materials, the exposure and restoration of original brick masonry, and the introduction of a new steel-and-glass façade system. The [project](#) includes the installation of a canopy trees on 6th Avenue's right-of-way.

The site is located within the Rio Nuevo Area (RNA) overlay zone, with the existing structure on site designated as non-contributing to the Downtown Tucson National Register Historic District.

The DRB's Purview:

In accordance with UDC Section 2.2.6.C.14 and 5.12.7.F.3, the DRB reviews and forwards a recommendation of all requests in the Rio Nuevo Area (RNA) to the Planning & Development Services (PDSD) Director, as provided in UDC Sections 5.12.2.C.1 and 5.12.4.D. In formulating its recommendation, the DRB shall apply the design standards in UDC Sections 5.12.7.C. and 5.1.7.D (See Attachment A).

MOTION: THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD (DRB) HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICANT'S PROJECT FOR COMPLIANCE WITH RIO NUEVO AREA DESIGN CRITERIA AND RECOMMENDS TO THE PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIRECTOR (APPROVAL) (DENIAL), FINDING THE PROJECT (IN COMPLIANCE) (NOT IN COMPLIANCE) WITH THE DESIGN STANDARDS SET FORTH IN UDC SECTION 5.12.7.C 1-15 AND 5.12.7.D (SEE ATTACHMENT A) (SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS).

5. **TP-MOD-0126-000005 (DDO-26-12) - Crivanjas New Storage Building**

Related Activity #s **TD-DEV-1025-00273**

Address: **1400 E APACHE PARK PL (Parcel # 13216925A)**

Zoning: **I-1**

Development Design Option (DDO) Review

Action

The Applicant's Request:

Grenier Engineering, on behalf of the property owner, Crivanjas Building LLC, is proposing the construction of a new 16,819 square-foot, 25 feet high, metal storage building with associated parking and landscaping. The applicant is seeking the necessary zoning approval to allow the construction with a reduced street perimeter yard setback and modified street landscape border and screening, through the following modifications to the Unified Development Code (UDC) provisions:

- 1) DDO approval to allow the building to be constructed with a reduced street perimeter yard setback from (20') to (10'), as measured to the south lot line;
- 2) In lieu of a 10' wide street landscape border along Fair Street, redistribute a portion of the required landscape area to the west interior lot line;
- 3) DDO approval to allow four of the seven trees required along the south street landscape border to be redistributed along the west lot line;
- 4) In lieu of providing a 5' tall cmu wall behind the landscape border, allow the 5' tall existing cmu wall to remain at the south property line as screening; and
- 5) In lieu of providing one additional tree along the north street landscape border, redistribute the tree to the area behind the landscape border, all as shown on the submitted plans.

The DRB's Purview:

In accordance with UDC Sections 3.11.1.C.3 the DRB reviews and forwards a recommendation to the Planning and Development Services (PDSD) Director for applications requesting modifications to both the setback and landscaping standards.

MOTION: THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD (DRB) HAS REVIEWED THE APPLICANT'S PROJECT AND RECOMMENDS TO THE PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIRECTOR (APPROVAL) (DENIAL), FINDING THE PROJECT (IN COMPLIANCE) (NOT IN COMPLIANCE) WITH UDC SECTIONS 3.11.1.D.(1), (2) AND (3) (SEE ATTACHMENT 'B') AND SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS.

6. **Staff Announcements**

Informational

7. **Adjournment**

ATTACHMENT A:
RIO NUEVO AREA (RNA) DESIGN CRITERIA

In accordance with UDC Section 2.2.6.C.14 and 5.12.7.F.3, the DRB reviews and forwards a recommendation all requests in the Rio Nuevo Area (RNA) to the Planning & Development Services (PDSD) Director, as provided in UDC Sections 5.12.2.C.1 and 5.12.4.D. In formulating its recommendation, the DRB shall apply the design standards in UDC Section 5.12.7.C and UDC Section 5.1.7.D.

UDC § 5.12.7.C Rio Nuevo Area - Building Design Standards

Development within the RNA is required to comply with the following building design standards:

1. The proposed buildings shall respect the scale of those buildings located in the development zone and serve as an orderly transition to a different scale pursuant to Section 5.12.8.B, *Development Transition Standards*. Building heights with a vastly different scale than those on adjacent properties should have a transition in scale to reduce and mitigate potential impacts. In areas undergoing change, long range plans should be consulted for guidance as to appropriate heights;
 2. All new construction must be consistent with the prevailing setback existing within its development zone except that the PDSD Director may approve a different setback than the prevailing setback upon a written finding during the review process that a different setback is warranted by site conditions or applicable development design goals consistent with Section 5.12.1, *Purpose*, and the proposed setback will not be incompatible with adjacent properties, as defined in Section 11.4.2.A;
 3. All new construction shall provide scale defining architectural elements or details at the first two floor levels, such as windows, spandrels, awnings, porticos, cornices, pilasters, columns, and balconies;
 4. Every commercial building frontage shall provide windows, window displays, or visible activity within and adjacent to the building at the ground floor level, with a minimum of 50 percent of the building frontage providing such features;
 5. A single plane of a façade at the street level may not be longer than 50 feet without architectural relief or articulation by features such as windows, trellises, and arcades;
 6. Building façade design shall include pedestrian-scaled, down-shielded, and glare controlled exterior building and window lighting;
 7. The front doors of all commercial and government buildings shall be visible from the street and visually highlighted by graphics, lighting, marquees, or canopies;
 8. Modifications to the exterior of historic buildings shall complement the overall historic context of the Downtown and respect the architectural integrity of the historic façade;
 9. Buildings shall be designed to shield adjacent buildings and public rights-of-way from reflected heat and glare;
 10. Safe and adequate vehicular parking areas designed to minimize conflicts with pedestrians and bicycles shall be provided;
 11. Adequate shade shall be provided for sidewalks and pedestrian pathways, using shade structure or vegetation, where permitted by the City;
 12. Colors may conform to the overall color palette and context of the Downtown area or may be used expressively to create visual interest, variety, and street rhythms. The rationale for an expressive or idiosyncratic use of color shall be described in the site plan submittal;
 13. New buildings shall use materials, patterns, and elements that relate to the traditional context of the Downtown area;
 14. Twenty-four-hour, street-level activity is encouraged by providing a mixture of retail, office, and residential uses within each building; and,
-

ATTACHMENT A:
RIO NUEVO AREA (RNA) DESIGN CRITERIA (Continued)

15. Primary public entries shall be directly accessed from a sidewalk along a street rather than from a parking lot. Public access to commercial and governmental buildings shall be provided at sidewalk grade. The primary floor of, and access to, residential structures may be elevated. Secondary access may be provided from off-street parking areas.

UDC § 5.12.7.D Rio Nuevo Area – Site Design Standards

Development within the RNA is required to comply with the following site design standards:

1. Vehicular Circulation

- a. All parking area access lanes (PAALs) adjacent to buildings shall have pedestrian circulation paths between the PAAL and the building, with a minimum width of six feet.
- b. The locations of all points of vehicular ingress and egress shall be perpendicular to the intersecting street. Points of ingress and egress points shall be designed to minimize vehicular/pedestrian and vehicular/bicycle conflicts. Adequate storage for vehicular queuing at parking facilities shall be contained on site. Right turn bays are strongly discouraged. Points of ingress and egress shall be minimized wherever possible. Additional temporary ingress and egress locations may be permitted for parking structures when occasional high peak period traffic flows (i.e., parking facilities for event venues) are anticipated.

2. Parking

- a. General Parking standards are listed in Section 7.4. Some properties in the RNA may also be located in the Downtown Parking District, which allows a reduction in the number of parking spaces as provided in Section 7.4.5.B.
- b. Screening of Parking All new parking shall be designed so that vehicles are not visible from the adjoining street level, through incorporation of pedestrian arcades, occupied space, or display space.
- c. Employee Parking Employee parking for all uses should be provided at remote locations in order to maximize the availability of space for development.

3. Plazas and Open Space

The fundamental objective of the design standards in this Section 5.12.7.D.3 is to encourage public and private investments to enhance the character and function of Downtown's pedestrian environment.

a. Plazas and Pedestrian Nodes

Five percent of the gross floor area of new construction shall be provided in public plazas or courtyards. Open space plazas, courtyards, and patios are landscaped outdoor areas designed to accommodate multiple uses, from large gatherings of the people for performing arts to smaller gatherings. The plazas and courtyards will be one of the ways that spaces and uses can be linked. The requirement of this section may be waived or reduced by the PDSD Director upon a written finding during the review process that the development enhances the downtown pedestrian environment even with a smaller percent or elimination of the requirement.

ATTACHMENT A:
RIO NUEVO AREA (RNA) DESIGN CRITERIA (Continued)

b. Viewshed Corridors

Views of all historic properties and all natural elements surrounding the Downtown should be considered during design. Plazas, courtyards, and open spaces shall be sited to include views to other public spaces, where feasible.

c. Linkages (Physical and Visual)

Neighborhood linkages shall be maintained throughout Downtown.

4. Streetscape

a. Streetscapes must be consistent with the Streetscape Design Policy. In streetscape design, priority is given to pedestrians.

b. Shade

Shade shall be provided for at least 50 percent of all sidewalks and pedestrian pathways as measured at 12:00 p.m. on June 21 when the sun is 82° above the horizon (based on 32°N Latitude). Shade may be provided by arcades, canopies, or shade structures, provided they and their location and design characteristics are compatible with the prevailing and design context of the street and the architectural integrity of the building. Deciduous trees, as proposed in the Downtown Comprehensive Street Tree Plan, are encouraged to supplement existing evergreen trees. The use of plantings and shade structures in the City right-of-way are permitted to meet this standard with the approval of the Department of Transportation. The shade provided by a building may serve to meet this standard.

ATTACHMENT “B”
UDC § 3.11.1.D “FINDINGS FOR DDO APPROVAL”

1. General Findings for All Modification Requests

For all modification requests, the PDSD Director may approve a request only if the request meets all of the following findings:

- a. Is not a request previously denied as a variance;
- b. Does not modify a conditional requirement or finding to determine whether the use should be allowed in the zone;
- c. Is not to a condition of approval for a rezoning or Special Exception Land Use application;
- d. Does not modify a requirement of an overlay zone, such as, but not limited to, Scenic Corridor, Environmental Resource, Major Streets and Routes Setback, or Airport Environs;
- e. Does not result in deletion or waiver of a UDC requirement;
- f. The modification applies to property that cannot be developed in conformity with the provisions of this Chapter due to physical circumstances or conditions of the property, such as irregular shape, narrowness of lot, exceptional topographic conditions, or location.
- g. Does not create a situation where proposed development substantially reduces the amount of privacy that would be enjoyed by nearby residents any more than would be available if the development was built without the modification;
- h. Does not create a situation where proposed development will block visibility within the required visibility triangle on adjoining streets for either vehicular or pedestrian traffic;
- i. Does not create a situation where the proposed development will cause objectionable noise, odors, trespass lighting, or similar adverse impacts adjacent properties or development; and
- j. Does not create a situation where the development will result in an increase in the number of residential dwelling units or the square footage of nonresidential buildings greater than would occur if the development was built without the modification.

2. Specific Findings for Setback and Wall Height Modification Requests

In addition to the findings in Section 3.11.1.D.1, the PDSD Director shall find, in the case of setback and wall height only, that the modification:

- a. Does not create a situation where proposed development will obstruct significant views of dramatic land forms, unusual stands of vegetation, or parks from nearby properties substantially more than would occur if the development were built without the modification;
- b. Provides design alternatives to better integrate the development into the design character of the immediate neighborhood;
- c. Does not apply to a setback requirement of a Flexible Lot Development (FLD);
- d. Does not create a situation where the proposed development will interfere with the optimum air temperature or solar radiation orientation of buildings on adjoining properties substantially more than would occur if the building or structures were built without the modification; and
- e. Does not create a situation where the proposed use of the property will impose objectionable noise levels on adjoining properties greater than would occur if the buildings or structures were built without the modifications.
- f. The modification is not for an increase in height of more than two feet to an accessory wall or fence, except that an increase of up to four feet may be considered for entry features on walls and fences.

3. Specific Finding for Screening Modification Requests

For screening modifications, in addition to the findings in Section [3.11.1.D.1](#), the PDSD Director shall make a finding that the modification does not lower the height of a required screening device to a point where it does not accomplish its purpose.