



Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Tucson Pima County Bicycle Advisory Committee (TPCBAC)** and to the general public, that the TPCBAC will hold the following meeting, which is open to the public:

Meeting Date: [Wednesday, November 19th, 2025 at 6 pm](#)

Join with Teams: [Meeting](#)

Join by Phone at: +1 213-293-2303

Passcode: [879149429#](#)

Phone Conference ID: 879 149 429#

Please join early if additional technology help is required.

- Staff will be available at 5:45 pm for assistance.
- Members, please refrain from discussing any TPCBAC business prior to the meeting being called to order.
- Members – cameras on if possible; attending Public – cameras off, please.
- All – microphones on mute when not speaking, please.

Please join the meeting by 5:50 pm to establish connections, work out any technical issues, and facilitate a prompt starting time. At the start of the meeting, we will begin by establishing that a quorum of at least 11 members is present. If unable to establish a quorum, all staff are required to leave and the scheduled meeting will be canceled.

AGENDA

Agenda Item	Duration/Starts
1. Roll Call, Call to Order, and Approval of Minutes previous meeting.	10 min/6:00
Roll call, and approval of the October 15, 2025 meeting minutes. (Committee members must abstain from voting on minutes if they missed the preceding meeting. For approving the minutes, the majority of voting members is sufficient – quorum is not needed.) (BAC Chair leads the discussion)	
2. Call to the Public.	10 min/6:10
Opportunity for the public to address the TPCBAC. Due to time constraints, the total time allocated for this item is 10 minutes and all individuals will be limited to 3 minutes each.	

If additional time is needed to address a particular issue, it may be considered for addition to the agenda at a future meeting. Additionally, comments from the public submitted to our website will be shared anonymously.

(BAC Chair leads the discussion)

Email to TPCBAC: Appreciates increasing number of bike lanes in town – but request more frequent street-sweeping – as debris, glass, gravel builds up – making unsafe to use

3. Updates from Law Enforcement.

10 min/6:20

Status report from the Tucson Police Department (TPD) regarding any recent or upcoming bicycle-related actions or activities. Questions for TPD representatives.

Discuss with Law Enforcement – plans to address safety issues on the Loop – motivated also by recent bicyclist stabbing / death on the Loop near Mall's Diamond Street Loop.

Law Enforcement please stay for the next discussion point on Hawk signals.

(BAC Chair leads the discussion)

4. Updated discussion: Are HAWK lights really the best / safest option?

10 min/6:30

- Ask law enforcement on bicyclist (and pedestrian) fatalities / accidents statistics at Hawk signals.
- At least two bicyclist fatalities occurred in Tucson in the recent past (one just in the last few days). And what is the statistics about pedestrian safety at Hawk Signals? <https://www.kold.com/2025/11/17/bicyclist-dies-after-crash-tucson/>
- The recent triple fatal pedestrian crash at Euclid and 2nd Street also prompts request for a Hawk signal there.
<https://www.kold.com/2025/11/04/site-triple-fatal-pedestrian-accident-getting-safety-improvements/>
- But is Hawk really the best option? Many bicyclists report cars not properly stopping (Personal experience: ~2% of all Hawk crossings – one car runs on red.)
<https://www.bicycletucson.com/all-posts/bicyclist-struck-last-week-dies/27370>
- As potential alternative: What about a regular looking traffic light that is always green and turns red when requested?
- Robust studies comparing Hawk safety (and overall traffic flow) to other options appear still sparse.
- Likely to be followed by another future discussion – also with traffic engineers

(BAC Chair leads the discussion)

5. Presentation by Lee Miller (DTM) on East Side Bicycle Networks

30 min/6:40

Lee Miller (DTM) will give presentation to the BAC on the east side bicycle networks.

[East Side Bicycle Boulevard Network project](#)

Recent updates on Sarnoff Drive prompted some local news articles of whether new bicycle infrastructure is appropriate (see article below): One could summarize that (on one hand) various physical objects allow for better separation between cars and bicyclists (and pedestrians) and are based on newer safety guidelines (according to engineers), while (on the other hand) others (like car drivers, local businesses, etc.) find it confusing and also harder to find parking spaces etc.

Daphne Hope also questioned whether BAC input was properly requested at the time (not clear – as this was a while ago.)

(Chair and Lee Miller will lead the discussion)

<https://www.kgun9.com/news/community-inspired-journalism/eastside-news/some-eastside-drivers-say-sarnoff-dr-redesign-took-a-wrong-turn>

6. Announcements, Updates, and Questions for Related Entities. 10 min/7:10

- GABA, Daphne Hope
- CSCC, James Wood
- Loop Subcommittee Randy Ford

7. Announcements, Updates, and Questions for Staff. 10 min/7:20

- City of Tucson (incl. updates committee member recruitment) (Lydia Barker)
- Pima County, (Mike Hendrix)
- Marana Update, (David Andrews)
- PAG, (Hannah Oden)

8. Discussion letter to UofA for deterring bike theft 10 min/7:30

Letter will be discussed and voted on. The letter was distributed before to the committee and can be accessed here: [DRAFT.2 Letter of UA - Bicycle Theft.docx](#).

(Krista Post leads the discussion)

9. Bicycle infrastructure West of Santa Cruz River / N Silverbell road 10 min/7:40

Does the committee want to address the constituent's request to install bike lanes on both sides of El Camino Del Cerro west of Silverbell Rd, near Sweetwater Preserve?

(Chair leads the discussion)

Based on google maps and bike map consultation: It appears that this is a two lane road with dirt on the side. Bicycles currently have to be on the street, and cars would have to cross two opposite lane to overtake bicyclists. Both lanes are (everywhere) separated by two yellow lines, i.e., cars cannot legally overtake bicycles. The whole stretch is about 1-1.5 miles. Sand gravel to two both sides of the streets is rather unsuited for regular biking. At an estimated 20% it is likely impossible to bike / walk to the side of the regular street (either very loose sand – or earth 1-2 feet high).

Assessment: This road is currently not safe / suitable for bicycling at all. Adding proper bike (and walking) paths to either one or both sides is mostly possible, would likely

require some earth work as well. An alternative bike path close by (e.g., on a parallel street) is not apparent; google maps suggests some “wild food paths” – but not clear how those are related to the local properties.

Potential course of action:

- BAC committee might discuss / vote that a safe bike (and pedestrian) infrastructure is desirable there
- Do we have any assessment of how many people would use this path on a regular basis / and how it would potentially integrate with work/school commute as well as recreational cycling/hiking in the local mountains.
- Request city to provide a first assessment of what it would take (cost/time) to add proper bike (and walk) infrastructure there – and how this would land on the overall priority list
- Request city for a more general update on plans for bicycle infrastructure into the west of Santa Cruz river / N Silverbell road

10. Bike Culture in Tucson: Next actions from BAC?

5 min/7:50

[Depending on time left during the meeting – we might only have time for a quick discussion with a more solid future discussion.]

Last time we had an open-ended discussion on Tucson's "bike culture" – and how to potentially improve it – or advertise it better – or both. This is a continuing discussion. Daphne Hope drafted some further thoughts – see distributed document. Question to the committee: What further actions do we want to take / should we take – if any?
(Chair leads discussion)

11. Discussion next meeting dates // Goal Setting/Next Meeting.

5 min/7:55

(BAC Chair leads discussion)

The committee will consider and discuss upcoming agenda items for the next meeting and the ongoing goal-setting plans.

Items on the wait-list / that had come up in the past:

- Welcoming / training / introductory session for newer BAC members – potentially 20–40 minutes
- Consider improving lighting along dark bike boulevards.
- **Review progress TPCBAC members of recording safety gaps. 20 min / Nicole Sanderson leads the discussion. Members will present results. [this link](#) (to be done once Nicole is back / is available)**

12. (If time allows) Personal introduction of (new) BAC members

5 min/7:55

Individual newer (but also longer-term) committee members will briefly introduce themselves and share anything they would like to. Discussions are welcome. This agenda item may be dropped or expanded depending how much meeting time is left.

(BAC Chair leads discussion)

13. Adjournment.

*** NOTE: TPCBAC next meeting will be Wednesday Dec 17th, 2025 at 6:00 p.m.**

If you require accommodation or materials in an accessible format or require a foreign language interpreter or materials in a language other than English, please notify the Tucson Department of Transportation Office at 520-791-4391 at least five (5) days in advance.

Committee Member	Jurisdiction
John Edgett	Ward 2
Le'Sean Marks	Ward 3
Elaine Flin	Ward 4
James Wood	Ward 5
Jane Zavisca	Ward 6
Randy Ford	Pima County
Daphne Hope - Vice Chairperson	Pima County
Eric Post	Pima County
Evan Santerior	Pima County
Ingmar Riedel-Kruse - Chair	Pima County
Anona Miller	University of Arizona
Krista Post - Secretary	Oro Valley
Robert Smith	Town of Sahuarita
Ex-Officio Non-voting Members	
Lydia Barker	City of Tucson
Mike Hendrix	Pima County