



PARK TUCSON COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES

Hybrid Meeting held virtually via Microsoft Teams
and Park Tucson Conference Room

July 16, 2024



1. Call to Order

Jill Brammer called the meeting to order at 8:05am.

2. Roll Call

Jeffrey Stewart called the roll and determined that a quorum was present.

<u>Members Present</u>	<u>Members Absent</u>	<u>Staff Present</u>
Casey Anderson Zachary Baker Jill Brammer Dale Calvert Glenn Grabski Graeme Hughes Richard Mayers Valeria Moraga Jeannine Mortimer Alexis Ryland Jim Sayre Jeffrey Stewart Zachary Yentzer	Cathy Rivers	Thomas Janowitz, Park Tucson Administrator Robin Raine, DTM Deputy Director J.R. Kelley, Park Tucson Superintendent Erika Garcia, Park Tucson Management Assistant

3. Neighborhood Reinvestment Program

Tom Janowitz presented information to the commission then held a Q&A session.

4. Future Agenda Items

PTC will vote on the proposed parameters at its July 23 meeting.

5. Call to the Audience

Questions were taken during the earlier question and answer period.

6. Adjourned at 8:46am

Staff Notes:

Tom Janowitz presented two alternate revenue and fund allocation calculation methods for the NRP program.

Both alternate methods involve removing the 25% participation threshold and applying the year's current neighborhood participation % to the revenue totals, as well as removing the overall RPP program solvency (\$4,000 surplus) criteria. Alternate 1 involves reducing non-residential permit revenue contributions from 100% to 10% to the individual neighborhood funds. Alternate 2 involves placing a \$3,000 cap on annual individual fund revenue accumulation.

Richard and Dale would like a copy of the excel documents.

Jim suggests it's easier to maintain if it goes into it's own cost center or bank account.

Valeria is asking if the cost of the RPPs will be going up in price as some people are stating that they can't afford it.

Jeannine is asking what efforts PT is making to encourage participation.

Valeria is suggesting that landlords offer RPP to their renters.

Zach asked what is the hope after digesting this information are we voting on this next meeting?

Richard does think PT is honoring the RPP and thinks there is massive misunderstanding in the language.

Cindy is commenting on the participation of the RPP in JP sitting on 40% renters and students and 60% homeowners and it's hard to get JP residents to participate.

Aaron is stating that NU is getting shafted.

Graeme is asking if the option to opt in or opt out it in the language and wanted to know the historical language of the program.

Valeria suggested we change the spaces in the neighborhoods to meters.

Jeffrey stated that if we don't have enough enforcement, it doesn't work and that we need more agents.

Intention to vote on this matter on 7/23/24.